Uploaded to youtube 1,247 views Feb 22, 2016 https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=XB4HqhszT2k

Uploaded to Internet Archive by <u>Israel did 9/11</u> on December 31, 2020

SM:

Hello, and welcome to the autograph, with Susan Modaress. We are coming to from New York city and my guest this week is doctor Alan Sobrosky, he's joining me via satellite from Pittsburgh Pennsylvania. Join us.

Alan Sobrosky is a writer and consultant, specializing in national and international security affairs

In December 1988 he received a superior civilian service award, after more than five years of service at the <u>US</u> Army War College as Director of Studies, Strategic Studies Institute, and holder of the General of the Army Douglas MacArthur Chair of Research.

A Marine Corps Vietnam veteran and 1986 graduate of the <u>US</u> Army War College, doctor Sabrosky's teaching and research appointments have included the US Military Academy and the Center for Strategic and International Studies. While in government service, he held concurrent and adjunct professorships at Georgetown University and the Johns Hopkins University of Advanced International Studies.

His published work includes thirteen books or monographs and over one hundred sixty articles, chapters, and book reviews. He's currently completing a book length study on the US use of military force as an instrument or foreign policy titled *Presidential War: The Politics of Military Intervention.*

Doctor Sobrosky is also an editor at Veterans Today where his latest series of articles regarding Israeli involvement in the 9/11 attacks against the United States is a shocking expose of how the Israeli Mossad planned the attacks, and the US military had prior knowledge. An argument often not discussed, especially by someone like Alan Sobrosky who is an American of Jewish ancestry.

However, Sobrosky addresses this sensitive controversial topic as someone devoted to the security of the United States at any cost. So what happens when a military insider puts the devastating terrorist attacks of nine eleven directly Israel's doorstep?

| c | N | . / | 1 | |
|---|---|-----|---|--|
| J | ľ | ۷ | ı | |

Doctor Alan Sobrosky, thank you so much for joining us on the autograph.

AS:

My pleasure Susan

SM:

Tell us how you make the case for Israel's involvement in the tragic 9/11 attacks.

AS:

There were several different ways of doing that Susan, and ah, this may be a little bit more background than some of your people need, but I began to have suspicions about what had happened on nine eleven about two weeks after the attacks. There were several discontinuites that made no sense to me whatsoever.

The, the behavior on the part of some of the named terrorists. Ah, those nineteen Arabs on four planes running around flying schools like loose cannon; not having the pilot skills to fly be aircraft; no recognition for what the had done afterwards in there own area; in their own community. And it struck me that that for many reasons none of the arguments that were being given for what they did made any sense. But I then immediately forgot about it until about two years ago.

And then I came across a a video which I found to be extremely disturbing. That video was an interview with a Dutch demolitions expert named Danny Jowenko.

The accident of prominent Dutch "demolition expert" Danny Jowenko is of special interest to us, because on **July 13**th **2011** in an exclusive <u>Press TV interview</u>, Dr. Alan Sabrosky, Veterans Today board member and editor, specifically drew attention to the Dutch demolition expert, Danny Jowenko, stating that two years ago, he came across an extremely disturbing video in which Danny Jowenko was interviewed concerning the collapse on 9/11, of a 3rd World Trade Center building.

WTC7, a 47 storey building, the third tallest building, which was not hit by a plane, which was conspicuously missing in the 9/11 commission report and which according to Danny Jowenko was absolutely brought down by a controlled demolition.

http://www.salem-news.com/articles/july232011/danny-jowenko-dm.php

Ah, and it concerns the collapse of the third world trade center tower to go down on September eleventh which is the forty seven story World Trade Center 7, the third tallest building at the world trade center. Jowenko had never heard of it. Neither had I. And when you looked at the building you saw that there was affectively no damage to any face of the building, it has not been hit by a plane, it has not been taken major trauma from debris, and it went straight down into it's own footprint in about seven seconds in what was visibly a controlled demolition.

...you know, it was pretty clear that whatever those nineteen named Arabs on for planes, two of them at the world trade center had or had not done, they could not have had any possible effect on that third building going down.

shortly after that I came across, partly out of my own curiosity, which this this definitely aroused and very extensive video are, both of which i've cited by the way, in in some of my recent articles, which were taken on nine eleven itself, from what became ground zero, the base of world trade center 1 and 2, the twin towers, ah involving police emergency services personnel, newspaper and television correspondence and you can see and hear secondary explosions at the base of the world trade center buildings.

Now planes impacting at eight hundred to a thousand feet above the ground are not going to cause secondary explosions at the ground floor...and this followed over into other reports also there on September eleventh, about vans in the area; white vans, in one case a van was picked up by and by police and people arrested that the access to a major bridge, the van was filled with explosives, and the two people in it where Israelis. In Bergen New Jersey, same day, a van with several people in it, had set up cameras before the first plane hit. They were filming the twin towers and they were celebrating... hi fives, it was a it was a good thing for them. Residents called police, police arrested them, all five were Israelis.

What intrigued me, in addition to to angering me, was that within days all of these reports disappeared from the media. Most Americans don't know that a third building went down at the world trade center, most have never heard about the secondary explosions reported by CNN, BBC, Fox and others, ... at the foundation of World Trade Center 1 and 2. None have ever heard about the vans.

So I began looking at this very carefully, and one of the things that I began looking at was just what would it have taken for a special operations group of any particular nationality to have done 9/11, either by having taken control of the the operation we have been told about of the 19 named Arabs on four planes, or having run a parallel operation, where you have four planes being hijacked, but there is another operation been done with those in concert. And I picked up three particular factors would need to be taken into account. One was motivation, a second was expertise, and the third, the critical one, was access. Now when it came to motivation it's probably a very sad commentary on where we are in the world today that there an awful lot of countries and groups out there in the world that have either real or contrived reasons to hate America. Ah, it wasn't that way when I was young man, but then many things weren't the same way now as they were when I was a young man.

But all the, almost all other groups and countries that might want to do this only had a negative reason for doing it: they wanted to hurt us.

The Israelis had a positive reason, and it's one that a number of there there supporters

have talked about from the nineteen nineties on up and know what was called the Project for a New American Century, whose members included by the way, ... later vice president Richard Cheney, later defense secretary Donald Rumsfeld, and many of the so called neoconservatives, most of them Jewish, but not all, who came into the first Bush administration.

Israel had a positive reason [emirs] get the United States involved in a war in the Middle East with a powerful enraged anti-Islamic strain within the American public, that they needed a catalytic event to produce this, they even talked about it terms of another Pearl Harbor is needed to bring the American people into this fight.

The second was expertise. Lots of expertise out there, bringing buildings down, and controlled demolition is something that almost any intelligence agency of any country in the world can do or can contract. There are many private agencies that do this, but one thing is absolutely clear: neither those 19 named Arabs on the plane, nor al Qaeda as an organization, had the expertise, that technical expertise, to do that. And there's one very clear proof of that: If al Qaeda and it's affiliates had had the ability to wire even one building for a controlled demolition at the world trade center, much less all three of them, the so called green zone in the heart of Baghdad would have been a pile of rubble, and it wasn't.

But the last is access. The World Trade Center security was an Israeli owned company. Security at all three of the airports from which the four aircraft on 9/11 departed, ICTS, was an Israeli security company, that security company by the way, also had security of the airports in Paris and Amsterdam later, from which the so called shoe and underwear bombers departed.

The security company at the World Trade Center had twenty four seven state of the art electronic surveillance and foot patrols. Wiring a building for a controlled demolition, and I must I must confess here this is not my specialty, I have I have heard what people who do this for a living have said about it, but it is not my specialty, but the description of it in the video of

Danny Jowenko that I mentioned to you is a very good one on this, and he described, it in which if you needed to do it in a few hours you would need thirty to forty people... fewer if you had more time.... cutting open the walls cutting literally cutting open the walls all of a way around the building, implanting the explosives, running detonator cord and cable, wires to put them to a control system coordinating all of this activity... this is not something that involves the casual bringing in of a briefcase and leaving it at the foot of a building elevator and going out again... this is extensive extensive work and it would require the type of extensive sustained access that could not go unremarked by the security forces in place on this. They also needed the ability to evade ... apprehension and to have their escape concealed...and they had that done.

All of the Israelis who were apprehended in those vans were later released in about two months, at the direction of Michael Chertoff, then the second director of homeland security, dual US Israeli national. There's no mention of him in the mainstream media, which is largely Zionist owned; all of the major networks, all of the major national newspapers, all three of the weekly news magazines, all of the major political journals, most to the major publishing houses. It simply didn't happen... the only thing that happened on nine eleven that was discussed in the media

that has been accepted by the government, that was described in the nine eleven commission are nineteen named Arabs and four planes bringing down to buildings, and the rest of it, in Orwellian terms, has become a none event, and a none story

SM:

...And you know since you just pointed to the Nine Eleven Commission Report there's an understanding that a primary motivation for nine eleven was US support for Israel's brutal oppression of the Palestinians also conveyed in the Nine Eleven Commission Report ... i guess my question is, how are these two incidents or theories so to say related, and you know their are those that will brush off your argument as a conspiracy theory... are you concerned?

AS:

I don't I don't think thats so much of a conspiracy theory. I was I was smiling when you said that because, to tell a bit of a story on this, back on the early eighties when I was in Washington at the Center for Strategic and International Studies, one of our visitors for lunch and talk was a young gentleman named Francois Heisborg. Heisborg was the special assistant to French president Francois Mitterrand, and I don't know how the subject came up but he said, you know, Americans never see conspiracies anywhere...we French he said, we see conspiracies everywhere, and both of us are wrong.

This is not a conspiracy ... in the sense that it is usually used the word is usually used which is to denigrate an opposing argument when someone in the United States says that that's a conspiracy or that's a conspiracy theory, by definition they mean it is irrational, illegitimate, and without proof. All I would suggest to people is that you look at films of WTC 7, that third tower going down on September eleventh. You look and listen to the reports on September eleventh with the secondary explosions, these are not fantasies, they happened. You look at the reports on September twelve of the apprehension of Israelis with vans of explosives and cameras filming the events on September eleven. These are not fantasies, and you look at the people riddling the American government, all of the Middle East people and state defense and the National Security Council, deputy secretary of defense Paul Wolfowitz, under secretary of defense for policy Douglas Feith, who used to be a friend of mine by the away, from Philadelphia. These people were all part of the neoconservative movement. Many of these people are dual US Israeli nationals, all of their primary allegiance is to Israel. SM:

In a recent article Sobrosky explains why he is anti-Israeli. He notes

"...because of what it has done to the US in the furtherance of it's own role. The USS Liberty incident and 9/11 obviously stand out, and because of the leverage acquired over the US government and its policies through the machinations of the domestic Jewish communities here."

My concern is that I don't much ... care about other people or other countries. Ah I don't hate anyone in particular, ah I don't like anyone in particular. I'm an American, my a loyalty is strictly to the United States....and I don't care what my ethnicity is or what my ancestry is, my allegiance is that, and my concern is only whether something helps or hurts the United States, and I think anyone who's a citizen of any country can vary properly have similar feelings toward their country.

What I'm concerned about what it has done to hurt America, and it is very clear that over a period of the last forty odd years that the penetration of the American political process, the effect of subordination of the congress in particular to Israel when it when an Israeli prime minister can get twenty nine standing ovations from a congress, that can't give that much support to one of their own presidents, no matter how outlandish the statements, you have something as close to a puppet agency as you're going to find.

Our policy, in the middle east, has for decades been manipulated increasingly in terms of what benefits Israel and not what benefits the united states, even when that policy is articulated and supported by individuals who are sworn officials of the United States government, and to me, when a sworn official of the United States government, whether or not that person has dual Israeli citizenship, manipulates American policy, contrives crises and tragedies like nine eleven, contrives wars like Afghanistan and Iraq and now tries to get us into a war with Iran, all in service of Israel, spending American lives and that process, they commit high treason, and they need to be treated in that manner.

SM:

In his articles *Zionism Unmasked* the dark face of Jewish nationalism, Sobrosky looks at the differences between Jewish nationalism or Zionism, and that of other countries and cultures. He argues that first of all Zionism is a real witch's brew of xenophobia, racism, ultra-nationalism and militarism, that places it way outside of the near nationalist context. Secondly, Zionism undermines civic loyalty. Thirdly, that the enemy of normal national movement is the occupying power and perhaps it's allies, and once independence is achieved, normal relations with the occupying power are truly the norm, but for Zionism, almost everyone out there is an actual or potential enemy. I continue our interview by asking him about

AS:

his theory.

Well, I think the first thing to note, and I would I want to be sure that this is emphasized is that Jewish nationalism, or Zionism is not Judaism. It has nothing to do with Judaism. Many of the Zionists are very secular Jews, they are not practicing Jews in a religious sense. The thing that's intriguing about about Zionism, and I think I used the phrase that its a real witch's brew of of xenophobia, ultra-nationalism and militarism, with a with a nice scrap of of regional imperialism built into it.

Most nationalism is not like that. Most nationalism is intended to be a unifying force, but it doesn't go to such an extreme level. A second element of it is that when you have competing nationalisms, it it's very very rare to find anything that that involves the level of antipathy and hatred to the other side.

SM:

Sobrosky believes that Americans should see where they stand now, what should we done, and where America must stand if it wishes to alter the world Zionism has contrived. While he paints a realistic picture of what the current situation is, he addresses why so many attempts to contain Zionism in the US has failed throughout the years.

You know a good friend of the show James Morris, who has contributed greatly, not only to this show *The Autograph* but also in raising public awareness notably on his website americahijacked.com I remember him saying the he was a registered republican for many years, but ultimately found it very hard as an American to relate to that party, or the democratic for that matter, and became extremely frustrated notably because of the government's support for APAC and similar organizations, and the United States support for Israel in general. Just recently he was quoted as saying that he has become an independent, but I guess my question is, my point is, that are we seeing more public awareness, more frustration inside the united states among Americans because, the ones that I talked to seemed to be very very upset to say the least, and they seem to feel very strongly about this issue.

AS:

I think many Americans are finding it difficult to relate to their government, but the idea that there's any kind of a mass movement there because of the relation to the Israel is simply not the case. There is no there is no vehicle for that type of opposition to have been ah created. There is not even an awareness on the part of most of the American people about the details of 9/11 that I mention to you. One of my last article ah was was much more of a practical 'how do we get the word out' and I think that if ... people did understand what really happened on nine eleven, you could very rapidly see a very substantial reorientation of public opinion.

SM:

Doctor Alan Sobrosky, thank you so much for those comments... so much more to talk about unfortunately we are out of time... hopefully we will see you back on *the*

autograph next time you are here in New York City.

...