


The Joint Inquiry and 911 Commission Report



There were multiple layers of failure with the US government's inquiry into the attacks of 9/11.

A Brief History of the Official 9/11 Investigations

https://www.seattle911visibilityproject.in/911VP_2025_update/comrpt/invest_hist.pdf

The Joint Congressional Inquiry

Within days of the attacks people were beginning to question what the Bush administration might have known about a potential al Qaida hijacking plot. Porter Goss shouted down the accusations. In the months following 9/11, both Goss and Graham rejected calls for an investigation. However, in December the Senate voted for one anyway, which led both Bush and Cheney to attempt to stop it or limit its scope. After consultations with Cheney, Senate Leader Tom Daschle agreed to an inquiry that would be restricted to intelligence issues only.

Moreover, it would be conducted by the House and Senate committees which had overseen the related intelligence work. Porter Goss led this first official inquiry and immediately made it clear that the inquiry would not be looking for guilt or accountability with regard to 9/11, saying he was "looking for solutions, not scapegoats." He also continued to defend the White House with regard to warnings the president had received about an impending attack saying it was "a lot of nonsense."

This inquiry, led by Porter Goss, and dubbed "The Joint Inquiry into Intelligence Community Activities before and after the Terrorist Attacks of September 11, 2001, was

conducted by the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence and the House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence. Goss and Graham offered uncritical deference to the Bush administration and the intelligence community, which placed restrictions on Inquiry requests for information. These restrictions impeded the Inquiry's ability to complete its charter, which was very limited to begin with. Yet Goss did not complain.

The report from the Joint Inquiry was finalized in July 2nd 2003, and appeared to imply Saudi government involvement in the 911 attacks. A significant section of the report, 28 pages in length, was initially withheld, but then finally declassified and released on July 15, 2016.

28 pages from joint inquiry implicating Saudi involvement in 9/11. Not only were a majority of the alleged 19 hijackers Saudi, but also the "28 pages" states that some of the 9/11 hijackers received financial support from individuals connected to the Saudi government. FBI sources believed that at least two of those individuals were officers in the General Intelligence Presidency, the primary intelligence agency of Saudi Arabia. The United States Intelligence Community believed that individuals associated with the Saudi government had ties to al-Qaeda.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/The_28_pages

The 9/11 Commission and It's Report

David Ray Griffin's *The 9/11 Commission Report: Omissions and Distortions*. 2005. From the book jacket: "... David Ray Griffin unveils a disparity between official 9/11 'spin' and independently researched 9/11 fact so glaring as to suggest the possibility of a constitutional crisis unlike anything our country has ever known... [This book] seriously-and alarmingly-challenges the independence, impartiality, and thoroughness of the 9/11 commission and its report."

A few more thoughts on the topic:

[Notes on the 9/11 Omission and Report](#)

The National Commission on Terrorist Attacks Upon the United States, commonly known as the 9/11 Commission, was unwanted by the Bush administration. It was delayed and underfunded, received bad information from agencies, and was not given enough time to complete its task. The Commission itself was compromised. A draft of the final report was prepared by executive director Philip Zelikow before the Commission even met. Important testimony was either not taken or left out of the final report, including important information from FBI translator Sibel Edmonds, and the results of Able Danger, a military program initiated to research al-Qaeda.



Philip Zelikow

Philip Zelikow had an advanced degree in the creation and maintenance of public myth.

He became executive director of the 9/11 Commission, and controlled all aspects of the 9/11 Commission investigation, including what evidence was admitted, who testified, and the text of the final report. He created the myth that violent Islamic extremists attacked our nation. <https://corbettreport.com/911-suspects-philip-zelikow/>

When it was discovered that he worked with the Bush administration National Security Advisor Condoleezza Rice, the 9/11 widows Jersey Girls group demanded he recuse himself from the investigation because of Conflict of Interest. Zelikow and the Commission dismissed their concerns.

9/11 Press For Truth DVD 2006 -Excerpts from the DVD:

https://www.seattle911visibilityproject.in/911VP_2025_update/comrpt/pft.htm

Partial transcript:

https://www.seattle911visibilityproject.in/911VP_2025_update/comrpt/pft-trans2.pdf

Engaging in conflicts of interest can lead to legal consequences if it violates laws, regulations, or ethical standards, potentially resulting in fines, penalties, or legal actions.

<https://letranlaw.com/insights/conflict-of-interest/>

In addition to the conflict of interest represented by Zelikow's control of the commission, John Farmer, council for the 911 Commission, said that what the government and military told Congress, the Commission, the media, and the public about who knew what when was almost entirely and inexplicably untrue. Thomas Kean said "to this day we don't know why NORAD told us what they told us, it was just so far from the truth."

John Farmer *The Ground Truth: The Ground Truth: The Untold Story of America Under Attack on 9/11* September 2009

http://www.salem-news.com/articles/september112009/911_truth_9-11-09.php

Sibel Edmonds

[Classified Woman by Sibel Edmonds-Synopsys](#)

Edmonds contacted the "9/11 Commission" to offer her support. She sent a summary letter to the commission's two offices in DC, and after many follow-ups, she was finally able to speak with the person in charge of scheduling witnesses to be interviewed. She was told that due to limited time, they would not be able to schedule an interview session for her. They were not interested in any information on additional highly qualified witnesses, and hung up on her.

She learned of the four 9/11 widows in New Jersey, known as the Jersey Girls, who were trying to pressure Congress and the 9/11 Commission for accountability. Their story made Edmonds reconsider her previous decision not to pursue the 9/11 Commission.

She contacted them and explained her background and her experience with the "911 commission". They were appalled. "They promised us, they gave us their word, that they would not turn away a single source, witness or document." "We've had people from the CIA, FBI, FAA—you name it—contacting us and basically telling us of similar experiences with this commission."

Edmonds finally met with the commission "investigators". She spilled it all as they recorded her statements over about 2 hours. She named officials and gave file numbers and locations, as well as identifying witnesses.

"On July 23, 2004, the widely anticipated 9/11 Commission Report came out. The commission had reduced my entire testimony to a short footnote, number 25 in chapter three. It read only, 'refer to the Justice Department Inspector General Report.' How convenient is that? The entire IG report was classified.

"Having originally overlooked pertinent and alarming intelligence may or may not be

understandable, yet the bureau's response to such evidence after 9/11 was and remains reprehensible and inexcusable.... the lengths to which the top tier went to ensure the covering up of these cases to prevent exposure and any investigation at all is almost incredible.... Many such cases were ... withheld from both the "Independent" Commission on 9/11" and its predecessor, the Joint House and Senate Intelligence Committee Inquiry into 9/11.... "Other cases brought to the attention of these bodies, by whistleblowers or anonymous employees were omitted from their reports or outright buried. The public hadn't a clue." p. 43-44.

Indira Singh and PTech

Considering PTech's potential importance in allowing the events of 9/11 to unfold, one would think a thorough investigation of 9/11 would include a look at it.

However, neither Indira Singh nor PTech warranted a mention. Though Edmonds was gagged, Singh did [give](#) testimony to the 9/11 Citizens' Commission, Sept 9, 2004 in New York. She read an open letter from Edmonds and added "what I have uncovered in PTech connects with some of the things that she has discovered. Sibel is not allowed to disclose content but she can ask me questions. I know some of the things that she mentioned there connect directly to what I discovered."


<https://rigorousintuition.blogspot.com/2005/01/michael-chertoff-and-sabotage-of-ptech.html>

Singh also noted that Governor Kaine, co-chair of the 9/11 Commission, had done business with one of the founding directors of PTech, Soliman Biheiri, who had put together a funding vehicle called BMI, which was also identified as being involved with terror financing, as well as PTech. None of this was revealed, making him guilty of conflict of interest. Three other members of the commission had similar conflicts of interest. They were all on the same team. <https://corbettreport.com/ptech-and-the-911-software/>

CHECK OUT THE 911 SHADOW COMMISSION IN EPSTEIN FILES

Able Danger: The Program That Was That Wasn't


Originally the Able Danger program was initiated by SOCOM to investigate al-qaeda. The program successfully identified a number of individuals who later were alleged to be the 9/11 terrorists, well before 9/11. After 9/11, the Pentagon ordered the destruction of all Able Danger data; 2.5 terabytes. Politicians denied the reality of the program. Several members of the program, including the man in charge of the operation, Anthony Schaffer, brought the Able Danger data to the attention of the 9-11 Commission, including director Philip Zelig. For his effort, Schaffer was disciplined by the Pentagon, and the 9-11 commission denied knowledge of the program. The commander in charge of the program



https://www.seattle911visibilityproject.in/911VP_2025_update/comrpt/invest_hist.pdf

Notes on Able Danger From *Triple Cross* by Peter Lance November 21 2006

https://www.seattle911visibilityproject.in/911VP_2025_update/comrpt/triple-cross-able-danger.pdf



[CNN: Officer: 9/11 panel didn't receive key information](#)
August 17 2005

[Alternet: Able Danger Off Again](#)
September 28 2005

[CBS: Senators Debunk 9/11 Conspiracy Claim](#)
December 26 2006

[Democratic Underground: ABLE DANGER and the 9/11 Whitewash](#)
Through 2007

[synopsis of Jim Marrs *Terror Conspiracy* on Able Danger](#)
September 27 2011

[Coffee or Die: Able Danger - The Pentagon's Plan to Suppress a 9/11 Controversy](#)
September 8 2021